

## **EXHIBIT E**

I R E L L & M A N E L L A L L P

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP  
INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

640 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 400  
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660-6924  
TELEPHONE (949) 760-0991  
FACSIMILE (949) 760-8200

1800 AVENUE OF THE STARS, SUITE 900  
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067-4276

TELEPHONE (310) 277-1010  
FACSIMILE (310) 203-7199  
WEBSITE: [www.irell.com](http://www.irell.com)

WRITER'S DIRECT  
TELEPHONE (310) 203-7106  
FACSIMILE (310) 856-5208  
[dgindler@irell.com](mailto:dgindler@irell.com)

September 17, 2004

**VIA E-MAIL**

To: Counsel of Record for Plaintiffs\*

Re: In re Columbia University Patent Litigation, MDL No. 1592

Dear Counsel:

I am writing in response to the letter from Kirke M. Hasson dated September 15, 2004, which requests on behalf of plaintiffs that Columbia provide certain clarifications of the Covenant Not to Sue filed on September 1, 2004 ("Covenant"). I will respond to the requests in the same order and with the same numerical references as in Mr. Hasson's letter.

1(a). "Covered products" as defined in the Covenant extends not only to vials or lots of such product that were manufactured by a plaintiff on or before September 1, 2004, but also to vials or lots of the same product manufactured by such plaintiff at any time in the future, and to the use and sale of such product.

1(b). "Covered products" as defined in the Covenant extends to all proteins on sale by a plaintiff on or before September 1, 2004, not merely the brand name products that are marketed.

1(c). "Covered products" as defined in the Covenant extends to all proteins on sale by a plaintiff on or before September 1, 2004, even if changes are later made in the process by which such protein is manufactured.

1(d). "Covered products" as defined in the Covenant extends to all proteins on sale by a plaintiff on or before September 1, 2004, even if such plaintiff uses a new DNA construct or a new transformed cell created after September 1, 2004, to express any such protein.

2(a). "Covered products" as defined in the Covenant extends to all transformed cells made on or before September 1, 2004, whether or not amplification of DNA I or DNA II has occurred.

2(b). "Covered products" as defined in the Covenant extends to all DNA constructs made on or before September 1, 2004.

I R E L L & M A N E L L A L L P

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP  
INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

Counsel of Record for Plaintiffs\*

September 17, 2004

Page 2

2(c). "Covered products" as defined in the Covenant extends to all proteins expressed on or before September 1, 2004, made using the transformed cells or DNA constructs referenced in 2(a) and 2(b) or a method covered by the '275 patent as it currently reads.

2(d). The Covenant extends to the continued production, use or sale after September 1, 2004, of the transformed cells, DNA constructs, and proteins referenced in 2(a), 2(b), and 2(c).

3(a). The Covenant extends to the future manufacture, use, or sale by a plaintiff of anything that such plaintiff had produced on or before September 1, 2004, such as a transformed cell, a DNA construct, or a cell line that had been made on or before September 1, 2004, regardless of whether that thing had yet led to any commercially sold product.

3(b). The Covenant extends to the future manufacture, use, or sale by a plaintiff of a protein that such plaintiff had made on or before September 1, 2004, or of a DNA construct, transformed cell, or cell line expressing that protein, where the protein was in pre-clinical or clinical trials on or before that date.

4(a). If a scientist working at a plaintiff creates for the first time after September 1, 2004, a DNA construct or a cotransformed cell, such as a first cotransformation with a DNA I encoding for a particular protein, the Covenant does not extend to such DNA construct or cotransformed cell, unless such protein encoded by the DNA construct or cotransformed cell was on sale by such plaintiff on or before September 1, 2004.

4(b). If a scientist at one of the plaintiffs creates for the first time after September 1, 2004, a DNA construct or a cotransformed cell, such as a first cotransformation with a DNA I encoding for a particular protein, the Covenant does not extend to methods of using such DNA construct or cotransformed cell, unless such protein encoded by the DNA construct or cotransformed cell was on sale by such plaintiff on or before September 1, 2004.

4(c). If a scientist at one of the plaintiffs creates for the first time after September 1, 2004, a DNA construct or a cotransformed cell, such as a first cotransformation with a DNA I encoding for a particular protein, the Covenant does not extend to a protein produced using such DNA construct or cotransformed cell, unless such protein encoded by the DNA construct or cotransformed cell was on sale by such plaintiff on or before September 1, 2004.

5(a). The letter dated September 10, 2004 ("September 10 Letter") was not a modification of the Covenant in stating that: "The Covenant applies to any claim of any

IRELL & MANELLA LLP

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP  
INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

Counsel of Record for Plaintiffs\*

September 17, 2004

Page 3

reissued or reexamined version of the '275 patent that is the same as, or substantially identical to, a claim of the '275 patent as it currently reads." The quoted statement is simply the legal consequence of the Covenant, as explained in *Spectronics Corp. v. H.B. Fuller Co., Inc.*, 940 F.2d 631 (Fed Cir. 1991), and *Amana Refrigeration, Inc. v. Quadlux, Inc.*, 172 F.3d 852 (Fed. Cir. 1999).

5(b). The Covenant does not extend to any claim in any patent that may issue in the future based on the '159 application, without exception.

5(c). Other than the '159 application and the '275 patent reissue application, there are no pending patent applications that claim priority to U.S. Application Serial No. 06/124,513.

6. Columbia cannot confirm any of these statements with respect to possible relationships that plaintiffs may elect to have in the future with unidentified third parties regarding "covered products." The statements are simply too theoretical. Your letter does not identify any such current relationship between a plaintiff and a third party regarding a "covered product." If any plaintiff has a current contractual (or other) relationship with a third party regarding a "covered product" that such plaintiff believes creates an actual case or controversy with Columbia concerning the validity, enforceability, or infringement of the '275 patent as it currently reads, please identify such third party and the nature of the relationship and Columbia will consider whether it is necessary to take some action to eliminate an actual case or controversy.

7. The phrase "any claim of patent infringement" as used in the Covenant includes direct infringement, contributory infringement, and inducing infringement.

If you believe that there are any other issues concerning the Covenant that require clarification, please contact me so that we may attempt to resolve them promptly. I would appreciate your informing me whether plaintiffs are now prepared to stipulate to the relief sought in Columbia's Motion to Dismiss. I request that counsel for any plaintiff who is not prepared to stipulate to the relief sought in Columbia's Motion to Dismiss advise us promptly as to the basis for that opinion so that we may make every effort to eliminate or narrow the issues in dispute.

Very truly yours,



David I. Gindler

cc: Wayne Barsky, Esq.

IRELL & MANELLA LLP

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP  
INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

Counsel of Record for Plaintiffs\*

September 17, 2004

Page 4

\*Counsel of Record for Plaintiffs

Adrian M. Pruetz, Esq.  
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart Oliver & Hedges, LLP  
865 S. Figueroa St.  
10th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90017  
COUNSEL FOR GENENTECH, INC.

Donald Ware, Esq.  
Foley Hoag LLP  
155 Seaport Blvd.  
Boston, MA 02210  
COUNSEL FOR BIOGEN IDEC MA, INC. AND GENZYME CORP.

Mark A. Pals, Esq.  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
Aon Building  
200 East Randolph Dr.  
Chicago, IL 60601  
COUNSEL FOR ABBOTT BIORESEARCH CENTER, INC.

Leora Ben-Ami, Esq.  
Kaye Scholer LLP  
425 Park Ave.  
New York, NY 10022-7203  
COUNSEL FOR WYETH AND GENETICS INSTITUTE LLC

Steven A. Zalesin, Esq.  
Patterson, Belknap, Webb & Tyler LLP  
1133 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036  
COUNSEL FOR JOHNSON & JOHNSON

Vicki G. Norton, Esq.  
Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati  
3611 Valley Centre Drive  
San Diego, CA 92130

I R E L L & M A N E L L A L L P

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP  
INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS

Counsel of Record for Plaintiffs\*  
September 17, 2004  
Page 5

Eileen M. Hurlihy, Esq.  
Palmer & Dodge  
111 Huntington Ave.  
Boston, MA 02199

Kirke M. Hasson, Esq.  
Pillsbury Winthrop LLP  
50 Fremont St.  
San Francisco, CA 94105-2228  
COUNSEL FOR AMGEN INC. AND IMMUNEX CORP.